


Document Page 1 of 1  
**McCormick Law Firm**


DAVID R. BAHL  
WILLIAM L. KNECHT  
J. DAVID SMITH  
ROBERT A. ECKENRODE  
JOANNE C. LUDWIKOWSKI  
RICHARD F. SCHLUTER  
BRIAN J. BLUTH  
WILFRED K. KNECHT



February 2, 2011

WILLIAM E. NICHOLS  
H. CLAY MCCORMICK  
RETIRED  
PAUL W. REEDER  
1950-1998  
DEAN R. FISHER  
1951-2000

PAMELA J. OLVANY  
OFFICE MANAGER



William C. Redden, Clerk  
U.S. Bankruptcy Court  
Eastern District of Virginia  
Attn: Suzanne French  
701 E. Broad Street  
Richmond, VA 23219

**RE: DEAN E. BARNETT CHAPTER 13 BANKRUPTCY  
CASE NO: 10-35854-KRH**

Dear Ms. French:

On December 30, 2010 you received Claim No. 10 in the above matter which I had forwarded to you on behalf of Bette Barnett and thereafter on January 4, 2011 you received Claim No. 11 which I forwarded to you on behalf of Bette Barnett. Claim No. 11 was marked that it was an Amendment of a previously filed claim on her behalf. At the time I forwarded Claim No. 11 to you, Claim No. 10 had not yet been docketed and therefore I did not know what the prior claim number was to reference on the Amended Claim No. 11.

I just discovered that the debtor's attorney filed an Objection to both Claim No. 10 and Claim No. 11 with the Objections to Claim No. 10 being that it is the same debt as Claim No. 11. That is correct since Claim No. 11 amended Claim No. 10 by increasing the amount owed.

Thus, would you please correct the Claims Register docket entries to note that Claim No. 11 modified Claim No. 10 and that it is not in addition to Claim No. 10. Therefore, I do not have any opposition to the debtor's Objection to Claim No. 10.

However, I do anticipate that a further response will be filed with respect to the Objection to Claim No. 11 prior to February 20, 2011.

Very truly yours,

McCormick Law Firm

William L. Knecht

WLK/tm  
CC: David Edward Noll, Esq.  
Alan Acker, Esq.  
Bette Barnett